4084141076

# HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP 1600 WILLOW STREET

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95125-5106

TEL: (408) 414-1080 FAX: (408) 414-1076

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET					
ro: Beatriz Prieto		FROM: Marcel K. Bingham			
COMPANY:		DATE:			
Group Art Unit - 2152		D	DECEMBER 19, 2002		
FAX NUMBER: (703) 308-6165		total no. of pages including cover: 5			
PHONE NUMBER:		sender's reference number: 50277-0236			
ŘĚ:	Proposed Claim	u.s. serial number 09/258,013			
⊠ urgent	for review	☐ PLEASE COMMENT	□ please reply	□ please recycle	
NOTES/COMM	RNTS.				

### **UNOFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE**

Please review the attached and call me to discuss.

Marcel K. Bingham (408) 414-1206

108/03 Pingham

#### PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE AND CONFIRM RECEIPT VIA FACSIMILE AT (408) 414-1076.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE P-OR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

# UNOFFICIAL

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

In re application of:

Group Art Unit No.: 2152

Alok Kumar Srivastava, et al.

Examiner: B. Prieto

Serial No.: 09/258,013

Filed on: February 25, 1999

For: DETERMINING THE PARTICIPANTS IN A

DISTRIBUTED OPERATION

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### PROPOSED CLAIM

Sir:

Please review the proposed claim and call me to discuss the merits of patentability of claim 1 in light of this amendment.

#### PROPOSED CLAIM

1	1.	A method of determining participants of a distributed operation in a distributed system,
2		the method comprising the steps of:
3		registering in a name service participant data that identifies a plurality of participants that
4		are participating in said distributed operation;
5		wherein said distributed operation is a unit of work involving said plurality of
6		participants;
7		wherein said name service registers information received from clients and provides said
8		information to clients that request the information, wherein said clients include
9		one or more nodes different than a node on which said name service resides; and

OID 1998-02-01

10	causing a particular node of said one or more nodes that requires information about
11	participants in said distributed operation to retrieve said participant data from said
12	name service; and
13	wherein any node of said one or more nodes that is performing deadlock detection may
14	retrieve from said name service said participant data to perform said deadlock
15	detection.

OID 1998-02-01

#### REMARKS

The added limitation clarifies that participant data registered in the name service to identify participants in a distributed operation is available for retrieval by any given node of a set of nodes other than one on which the name service resides. Further, the participant data is retrieved in order for the given node to perform deadlock detection. The claim amendment further distinguishes claim 1 from the prior art for the following reasons.

#### **IBA**

The participant data in the name service has apparently been analogized to the data in the WFG table in which a global deadlock detector registers wait-for-relations. The global deadlock detector retrieves information to perform deadlock detection. *Iba* does not disclose or suggest that the global deadlock detector resides on a node different than the one on which the WFG table resides. Further, *Iba* does not disclose or suggest in any way that data in a WFG management table is available for retrieval by another entity on another node different than the node on which the WFG management table resides, let alone by another entity on another node performing deadlock detection.

#### HERIOT

Herriot does not disclose or suggest in any way that the participants involved in a particular unit of work are identified by data in the name service in any way. In fact, nothing in Herriot suggests that a particular task or instance of a service performed by servers or clients are tracked in any way. At best, Herriot teaches to identify the entities available to provide work, but does not teach to store participant data that identifies who participated in a particular unit of

OID 1998-02-01



work. Therefore, *Herriot* cannot teach retrieving such information, let alone retrieving the information for the purpose of performing deadlock detection.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP

Dated: December 19, 2002

Marcel K. Bingham Reg. No. 42,327

1600 Willow Street San Jose, CA 95125

Telephone No.: (408) 414-1080 ext.206

Facsimile No.: (408) 414-1076